

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/631,238	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIN-HENDEL, CATHERINE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert M. Pond	<b>Art Unit</b> 3625	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Robert M. Pond.

(2) Mr. Douglas J. Crisman, #39,951.

**Date of Interview:** 25 March 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) Ms. Elizabeth M. Morris, #54,323.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2 PM Eastern

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 103

Claims discussed:  
 31-61

Prior art documents discussed:  
 Schilleru-Key

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Robert M. Pond/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Notified Mr. Crisman about the change in examiner for the case. Examiner proposed strategy to move prosecution to closure. Subsequent interviews were held on 25 March and 26 March 2009 to review the specification, provisional application, current claims, priority dates, restriction/election and possible subject matter with high potential for allowance. Counter-proposal offered by Applicant's counsel was considered and further developed. The Examiner thanks Applicant's counsel for prompt responses to inquiries and requests.